

Holographic Entanglement Entropy for noncommutative Anti-de Sitter space

Davood Momeni,^{1,*} Muhammad Raza,^{2,3,†} and Ratbay Myrzakulov^{1,‡}

¹*Eurasian International Center for Theoretical Physics and Department
of General & Theoretical Physics, Eurasian National University,
Astana 010008, Kazakhstan*

²*Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Sahiwal 57000, Pakistan*

³*State Key Lab of Modern Optical Instrumentation,
Centre for Optical and Electromagnetic Research,
Department of Optical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China*
(Dated: March 15, 2016)

A metric is proposed to explore the noncommutative form of the Anti-de Sitter space due to quantum effects. It has been proved that the noncommutativity in AdS space induces a single component gravitoelectric field. The holographic Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) algorithm is then applied to compute the entanglement entropy in dual CFT_2 . This calculation can be exploited to compute UV-IR cutoff dependent central charge of the certain noncommutative CFT_2 . This non commutative computation of the entanglement entropy can be interpreted in the form of the surface/state correspondence. We have shown that non commutativity increases the dimension of the effective Hilbert space of the dual CFT.

PACS numbers: 11.25.Tq, 03.65.Ud, 74.62.-c

Introduction The challenge now is to move the string theory from "feasible" to "practical" in order to describe strongly coupled quantum systems in high energy and condensed matter physics. The fundamental key of the path is a gauge-gravity pattern called Anti-de Sitter space/Conformal Field Theory (AdS/CFT) [1]. Acknowledging the presence of AdS boundary of gravitational bulk, asymptotic values of certain fields could act as dual quantum operators in CFT. This law will become a fundamental part of that ever string/fields duality. We can actually perform computations in CFT with the need for a suitable dictionary in AdS bulk. From a common sense point of view, evidences suggest that we are being modified significantly with quantum effects at Planck length, $\lambda_p = (\hbar G/c^3)^{1/2} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-33} cm$ that modify geometry of spacetime. The Planck scale physics offered to modify the Riemannian manifold to eliminate the singularities after a quantum epoch. To provide efficient geometry of the spacetime, noncommutative geometry (NCG) have been proposed to deliver the quantum effects. There arise a fundamental question whether it is right in principle to modify Lorentz algebra(symmetry) generally? [2]. A. Connes formulated his now famous framework of C^* algebras on spacetimes [3]. This could include deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds which were formulated to replace lost parts [4]. These noncommutativity of the coordinates of spacetime have been successfully formulated to support and maintain the anti-symmetric tensor field arising from massless states

of strings [5] :

$$[x^\mu, x^\nu] = i\Theta^{\mu\nu} \quad (1)$$

where $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ denotes a constant skew-symmetric tensor. The latter point has to be stressed since we did not address the question of Moyal product at all:

$$(f \star g) = f(x) \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \Theta^{\mu\nu} \overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu \overrightarrow{\partial}_\nu\right) g(x). \quad (2)$$

While noncommutative spacetime with the commutation relation have proven Lorentz violation over manifolds [6, 7], they are severely improved via the twisted Poincaré algebra [7]. The most suitable abelian twist element for this is the following:

$$\mathcal{F} = \exp\left(\frac{-i}{2} \Theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \otimes \partial_\nu\right). \quad (3)$$

The abelian twist elements are used in universal enveloping algebra of the Poincaré algebra describing the non-commutative multiplication of our functions. A paper describing a fundamental and systematic study of noncommutative Riemannian geometry (NCRG) has been published [8]. The isometric embeddings of a curved commutative spacetime in a flat higher dimensional spacetime [9, 10], is a term describing professional-algorithm that is extremely applicable. They introduce some principles of good noncommutativity that must be applied if embedding of commutative metric is adequately used. NRG transformations may be applied to alter the shape of the known metrics [11–13]. We've extended the AdS/CFT even further and come up with the NCAdS/CFT [14], gravity dual to NC gauge theories [15] and even for Holography of NC geometries (NCG)[16].

One of most important quantum measurement is entanglement entropy (EE) of the dual quantum system

*Electronic address: momeni`d@enu.kz, d.momeni@yahoo.com

†Electronic address: mreza06@gmail.com, mraza@zju.edu.cn

‡Electronic address: rmyrzakulov@gmail.com

with CFT via AdS/CFT principle. As an example, consider the density matrix $\rho \equiv |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ for the dual CFT system in a pure quantum state $|\Psi\rangle$. The EE of systems never exist independent of the von Neumann entropy $S_X = -\text{Tr}_X(\rho_X \log \rho_X)$. When S_A is computed, a complement observer B might be inclined to say that remnant will be calculated for the end value of the von Neumann entropy. This is the sense in which the von Neumann entropy define "EE" [17]. We were astonished to discover that EE has become a geometrical, boundary entropy via AdS/CFT [18, 19], called holographic entanglement entropy (HEE) (see for a review [20]). In this scheme, you'll discover the surface area entropy, one of magic's most enduring classics in gravitational physics [21]. This has been used recently to produce a quantitative model for critical phenomena with some success [22]-[31].

Quantum entanglement can be used as an alternative candidate for dark energy, an exotic fluid with negative equation of state (EoS), which is believed to be responsible for current acceleration of the Universe [32]-[36]. Particularly, it is possible to describe the phase transition from early epoch to the late time as two entangled cosmological eras. In this approach, the aim is to describe acceleration of the Universe, by measuring the entanglement degrees of freedom [35]. Furthermore, there is a consistent way to address the cosmic acceleration using the entanglement measures by using an EoS which is calculated from the existed quantum entanglement between different cosmological epochs [34]. This is a novel approach to address dark energy as biproduct state of the quantum entangled subsystems (here early and late time epochs).

A major goal of this letter is to understand how HEE deformed on $N\text{CAdS}_3$ background. Non-commutative computation of the HEE has been investigated by several authors. For example in Ref. [37], the authors investigated HEE in a large- N strongly coupled noncommutative gauge theory at zero and finite temperature regimes. Using the RT duality, they assumed that this NC gauge theory in boundary has a "fully commutative" AdS bulk geometry. So, in one side they have NC gauge theory as an entangled quantum system and in other side they have a commutative AdS bulk geometry. The extremal surface is also assumed to be commutative. Non-commutativity exists only at the level of quantum boundary system. They found a monotonic-increasing form of HEE with respect to the length, in the system. Furthermore, in Ref. [38], a holographic computation of HEE was done in strongly coupled non-local dual field theories as a noncommutative deformation of SYM theory. They supposed that the gravitational dual is a pure-AdS, because all the cases which they studied were about UV deformations of the $N = 4$ SYM. Also in this work the authors attacked to the non-commutativity from the boundary quantum field theory point of view. Their geometry still remains commutative.

But our problem in this letter is different from the

above references. When there is a fully satisfactory version of NC bulk geometry, we have two major options to treat HEE from the AdS/CFT approach. One is to assume that this NC geometry has a "commutative" dual CFT. Other choice is to suppose that the dual CFT on boundary is commutative. What we proceed in this letter was to suppose that *NC-AdS geometry in bulk has a NC dual CFT on boundary*. What we computed is the central charge (Casimir energy) of the $NC - CFT_2$ using $N\text{CAdS}_3$ bulk geometry via RT algorithm. However we don't know the structure and the correct form of such hypothesis $N\text{CCFT}_2$, but we are lucky. Our main motivation is to compute the NC-central charge of this quantum theory using the associated HEE for $N\text{CAdS}_3$. These holographic computations have been interpreted as an appeal to the leakage of the NC-AdS/CFT, but This assumption is entirely out of keeping with all we know about NC gauge theories [38], over whom NC says it practically ruled as a NC-SYM gauge theory. This letter gives examples of NC geometries interpreted by the NC-CFT.

We proposed to explore the noncommutative form of the AdS space via [8]. The holographic $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ algorithm [18, 19] is then used to compute the HEE in dual CFT_2 in commutative boundaries.

Foundations of NCRG Due to standard RG convention each element of the $(N^{1,n-1}, g) = n$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold is labeled in the most comprehensible manner for the metric g with signature $(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$ [8]. NCRG is achieved by enriching and extending the Nash's isometric embedding of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. Extending this just a little further allows definitions with a set of smooth function $X^1, \dots, X^p, X^{p+1}, \dots, X^{p+q}$ on $N^{1,n-1}$, such that

$$g = -\sum_{a=1}^p (dX^a)^2 + \sum_{b=p+1}^{p+q} (dX^b)^2. \quad (4)$$

It helped define the coordinate chart U of $N^{1,n-1}$ and natural coordinates $=\{x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n\}$ for it. How would we define NC behavior in metric?. Objectives need to define a specific parameter $\hbar$ which is a definite and real indeterminate parameter. In this letter, we define ring of formal power series in $\hbar$ in the more conventional manner, using the shorter list $\mathcal{R}[[\hbar]]$. We can always define $\hbar$ in our formalism as the ratio of the standard model mass scale and the Planck mass of course. Suppose a set A of formal power series in $\hbar$ with coefficients always $\in \mathcal{R}$. We are looking to expand any arbitrary element of A with a summation form of $\sum_{i \geq 0} f_i \hbar^i$ where f_i are smooth functions on U . It is clear to us from a reading of these steps that A means $\mathcal{R}[[\hbar]]$ -module. One can thus postulate that Moyal product $u \star v$ is a map on U far as it maintains a highly centralized role of NCRG:

$$(u \star v)(x) = \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \exp \left(\hbar \sum_{ij} \theta_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j' \right) u(x) v(x'). \quad (5)$$

We notate $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, and (θ_{ij}) is a constant skew symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Moyal product has managed to preserve

the associativity and Leibnitz rule of its formal form:

$$\partial_i(u * v) = \partial_i u * v + u * \partial_i v, \quad m = p + q \in \mathcal{Z}^+$$

It is wise to obtain a copy of dot-product for an element

$$A = (a_1, \dots, a_m) : A^m \otimes_{\mathcal{R}[[\hbar]]} A^m \longrightarrow A^m \quad (6)$$

on U is defined by:

$$A \bullet B = - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i \star b_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} a_i \star b_i$$

We can always define the NC metric in terms of functions $X \in A^m$, $E_i = \partial_i X$:

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = E_i \bullet E_j. \quad (7)$$

We denote these metrics as "NC" non-singular $\hat{g} = (\hat{g}_{ij})$ the $n \times n$ matrix with entries $\hat{g}_{ij} \in A$. Let $(\hat{g}^{ij})$ denote the standard inverse :

$$\hat{g}_{ij} \star \hat{g}^{jk} = \hat{g}^{kj} \star \hat{g}_{ji} = \delta_i^k.$$

Results will define the connection of the metric in response to spacetime deformation:

$$\nabla_i E_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k \star E_k,$$

here $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ijl} \star g^{lk}$ and

$$\Gamma_{ijk} = \partial_i E_j \bullet E_k. \quad (8)$$

and the associated noncommutative Riemann and Ricci scalars are defined as:

$$R_{kij}^l = \partial_i \Gamma_{jk}^l - \partial_j \Gamma_{ik}^l + \Gamma_{jk}^p \star \Gamma_{ip}^l - \Gamma_{ik}^p \star \Gamma_{jp}^l, \quad (9)$$

$$R_j^i = g^{ik} \star R_{kpi}^p, \quad \Theta_p^l = g^{ik} \star R_{kpi}^l, \quad R = R_i^i. \quad (10)$$

In our case the appropriate addressee of Einstein equations with cosmological constant from the auditor to those modifications with NCRG is the following:

$$R_j^i + \Theta_j^i - \delta_j^i R + 2\delta_j^i \Lambda = 2T_j^i, \quad (11)$$

where T_j^i denotes the generalized *energy-momentum* tensor, Λ is the cosmological constant. Exact results have been reported in a few papers [11–13]. The goal of next section is to realize NCAdS₃ via the mentioned formalism.

Embedding technique : To find out more about the form on NCAdS follow the embedding scheme for AdS₃ spacetime. This showed that AdS₃ were already embedded into the 4D flat spacetime $\mathcal{R}^{2,2}$:

$$g = -(dX_1^2 + dX_2^2) + (dX_3^2 + dX_4^2). \quad (12)$$

We will be comparing (12) with (4). We observe that $p = q = 2$. This embedding is invariant under $SO(2, 1)$,

which is the precise isometry group of AdS, with conformal boundary. An appropriate rescaling casts the boundary in hyperboloid form in (2)D form, which is universal for systems supporting $SO(1, 2)$ isometry group, with one dilatation and two special conformal transformations. Now, we find the embedding coordinates (t, ρ, θ) for all of the hyperboloids:

$$X_1 = l \cosh \rho \sin t, \quad (13)$$

$$X_2 = l \cosh \rho \cos t, \quad (14)$$

$$X_3 = l \sinh \rho \sin \theta, \quad (15)$$

$$X_4 = l \sinh \rho \cos \theta \quad (16)$$

We need to specify an AdS radius, for this we have to define it by $l^2 = -\frac{3}{\Lambda}$. These coordinates represent a special universal covering of the commutative AdS₃ spacetime:

$$g = l^2 (-\cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\theta^2). \quad (17)$$

The domain $\rho = 0$, represent the the AdS boundary of the AdS metric. The aim of the next section is to compute the noncommutative version of (17) from (7).

Explicit form of NCAdS₃: Evaluation techniques of (7) include star product and skew symmetric matrix θ_{ij} . The starting point for the computation of (7) is the functions multiplying (18), which we can write in the following simple form:

$$A(y) \star B(y') = \lim_{y \rightarrow y'} e^{\hbar(\partial_\rho \partial_{\theta'} - \partial_\theta \partial_{\rho'})} A(y) B(y'), \quad (18)$$

$$y \equiv (t, \rho, \theta),$$

where

$$(\theta_{ij})_{3 \times 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The computation of the (7) is performed continuously for all orders of $\hbar$, using the Zassenhaus formula[39]:

$$e^{\hbar(\partial_\rho \partial_{\theta'} - \partial_\theta \partial_{\rho'})} = e^{\hbar(\partial_\rho \partial_{\theta'})} e^{-\hbar(\partial_\theta \partial_{\rho'})} \quad (19)$$

This allows routine computation of the components of NCAdS. Here is the complete form of the nonvanishing components of the noncommutative version of AdS₃ spacetime:

$$\hat{g}_{tt} = -l^2 \cosh^2 \rho \quad (20)$$

$$\hat{g}_{\rho\rho} = l^2 + 2l^2 \sin^2(\frac{\bar{h}}{2}) (1 - \cos \bar{h} \cosh(2\rho)) \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{g}_{\rho\theta} = l^2 \sin^2(\frac{\bar{h}}{2}) (e^{2\rho} \sin \bar{h} - \sin(2\theta - \bar{h}) + e^{-2\rho} \sin(2\theta)) \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{\theta\theta} = & l^2 \sinh^2 \rho + \frac{l^2}{\sqrt{2}} \sin^2(\frac{\bar{h}}{2}) \left[e^{2\rho} \cos \bar{h} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right. \\ & \left. - e^{-2\rho} \cos(\bar{h} + \frac{\pi}{4}) + 2 \sinh(\rho) \cos(2\theta - \frac{\pi}{4}) \right. \\ & \left. + 2 \cos(2\theta - \frac{\pi}{4}) \cos \bar{h} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The metric components are reduced to a standard AdS_3 in limit with $\hbar = 0$. Deformation of (17) using (20,21,23,24) is given by the following metric:

$$\hat{g} = g + (\delta g_{\rho\rho} d\rho^2 + 2\delta g_{\rho\theta} d\rho d\theta + \delta g_{\theta\theta} d\theta^2). \quad (24)$$

where $\delta g_{ij} \equiv |\hat{g}_{ij} - g_{ij}| \ll g_{ij}$. There is a *dimensional reduction* in noncommutative part of metric. It was the first observation in the NCRG to use noncommutativity to reduce dimensions of the commutative bulk and is fully surprising. If Planck scale quantum effects are sufficient to deform the commutative bulk, then noncommutativity is able to reduce bulk's dimensions will usually be arisen. Ascending to the NC metric (24), the portion of noncommutativity escaping from the purely ρ dependency to the (ρ, θ) dependent metric in one lower dimension. The NC portion of the metric gets sent to the spacelike metric due to the absence of δg_{tt} . The deformed metric can write even more wellness to produce a gravitoelectric field [40]:

$$E_g \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\gamma_{ab}} \log g_{11}. \quad (25)$$

where we define the length of a strip in the Euclidean coordinates $\bar{\rho} = i\rho, \phi = -i\theta$:

$$\gamma \equiv (-g_{11} \tilde{A}^2 + g_{22}) d\phi^2. \quad (26)$$

where

$$g_{11} = \delta g_{\rho\rho} | \{ \rho \rightarrow i\tilde{\rho}, \theta \rightarrow -i\phi \}, \quad (27)$$

$$g_{22} = \frac{\delta g_{\theta\theta}}{\delta g_{\rho\rho}} | \{ \rho \rightarrow i\tilde{\rho}, \theta \rightarrow -i\phi \}, \quad (28)$$

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{\delta g_{\rho\theta}}{\delta g_{\rho\rho}} | \{ \rho \rightarrow i\tilde{\rho}, \theta \rightarrow -i\phi \} \quad (29)$$

There is no doubt about a fact that *noncommutativity in AdS spacetime induces a single component gravitoelectric field*.

HEE for NCAdS₃: The HEE is defined as the entropy of a region of space $\tilde{A}$ and its complement on the minimal surfaces in AdS_{d+1} [18, 19]:

$$S_{\tilde{A}} \equiv S_{HEE} = \frac{Area(\gamma_{\tilde{A}})}{4G_{d+1}}. \quad (30)$$

What we need is to compute the $(d-1)$ D minimal surface $\gamma_{\tilde{A}}$ on equal time patches. We suppose that we can extend $\gamma_{\tilde{A}}|_{AdS_{d+1}}$ inside the bulk, and we are also limited, to a certain boundary condition, by keeping the boundaries same $\partial\gamma_{\tilde{A}} = \partial\tilde{A}$. Several options for the parametrization of the boundary surfaces are available as well as different choices for the form of minimal surface functional in (30). Here the assumption for HEE purpose is that none of the minimal surfaces on the boundaries is noncommutative under (1). We consider that the assumption of commutativity is correct and may validate some of the arguments. Often the implicit assumption was that minimal surface

appeared into a strip from which the UV boundary of AdS ever cutoffed by $\rho = \rho_0$:

$$\tilde{A} := \{t = t_0, 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_0\}, \rho = \rho(\theta), \theta_0 = \frac{2\pi l}{L}, \quad (31)$$

here L denotes the spacial length of the total system which indeed, is infinitely long and l denotes the length of a system $\tilde{A}$, formally $L \gg l$. The HEE expression (30) can then be corrected by inverting the affected NCAdS metric given by (24):

$$S_{HEE}^{NCAdS_3} = S_{HEE}^{AdS_3} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{nmk}^{\infty} a_{nmk} \Delta S_{HEE}^{nmk}, \quad (32)$$

$$a_{nmk} = \frac{(n-3/2)!}{n!m!(n-k)!(k-m)!}, 1 \leq m \leq k \leq n. \quad (33)$$

here $S_{HEE}^{AdS_3} = \frac{l}{4G_3} \log \left(e^{2\rho_0} \sin \left(\frac{\pi l}{L} \right) \right)$ was calculated in [18] in terms of central charge of $(1+1)$ CFT and geometrical lengths of spacelike sector of AdS spacetime. Here a stands out for an ultraviolet (UV) cutoff. Consequently, what major has been done is to write an expression for NCHEE as follows:

$$\Delta S_{HEE}^{nmk} = \frac{1}{4G_3} \int_0^{\theta_0} d\theta \left[(\rho')^{2m+n-k} (l^2 \rho'^2 + l^2 \sinh^2 \rho)^{\frac{1}{2}-n} (\delta g_{\rho\rho})^m (2\delta g_{\rho\theta})^{n-k} (\delta g_{\theta\theta})^{k-m} \right]. \quad (34)$$

We define a Lagrangian density as the following:

$$\mathcal{L}^{nmk}(\rho, \theta) \equiv \left[(\rho')^{2m+n-k} (l^2 \rho'^2 + l^2 \sinh^2 \rho)^{\frac{1}{2}-n} \right. \\ \left. \times (\delta g_{\rho\rho})^m (2\delta g_{\rho\theta})^{n-k} (\delta g_{\theta\theta})^{k-m} \right]. \quad (35)$$

Unfortunately, this Lagrangian does not satisfy Beltrami's identity.¹ The static geodesic satisfies the following equation:

$$\partial_{\theta}(\partial_{\rho'} \mathcal{L}^{nmk}) - \partial_{\rho} \mathcal{L}^{nmk} = 0. \quad (36)$$

Our problem is to minimize the following functional:

$$\text{Minimize} \{ I^{nmk}[\rho(\theta)] = \int_0^{\theta_0} d\theta \mathcal{L}^{nmk}(\rho, \theta) \}, \quad (37)$$

that connects the boundary points $\rho(0) = \rho(\theta_0) = \rho_0 \gg 1$. Leading the $m = n = k = 1$ of integral to look at ΔS_{HEE}^{nmk} is really appropriate here. An ideal solution here is to choose a phase-space like solution for (36) in the form $\rho = \rho'(\rho)$:

$$\rho'^2 = \frac{E^2 f^2 \sinh^4 \rho}{3} - \sinh \rho \\ + \begin{cases} -2 \frac{|q|}{q} \sqrt{-\frac{p}{3}} \cosh \left(\frac{1}{3} \cosh^{-1} \left(-\frac{3|q|}{2p} \sqrt{-\frac{3}{p}} \right) \right), & p < 0 \\ -2 \sqrt{\frac{p}{3}} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{3} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{3q}{2p} \sqrt{\frac{3}{p}} \right) \right), & p > 0 \end{cases}. \quad (38)$$

¹ It stated: these Lagrangians do not satisfy a simple identity in the form $\mathcal{L} - \rho' \partial_{\rho'} \mathcal{L} \neq C$. The only Beltrami's case came from $m = n = k$ term, where the geodesic $\rho(\theta)$ obtained from (36) was not analytical.

Suppose $f \equiv 2l^2 \sin^2(\frac{\bar{h}}{2}) \left(1 - \cos \bar{h} \cosh(2\rho)\right)$ and $4p^3 + 27q^2 > 0$, we define :

$$p = -\frac{E^2 f^2 \sinh^5 \rho}{3} (E^2 f^2 \sinh^3 \rho - 6), \quad (39)$$

$$q = -\frac{E^2 f^2 \sinh^6 \rho}{27} (2E^4 f^4 \sinh^6 \rho - 18E^2 f^2 \sinh^3 \rho + 27) \quad (40)$$

We observe that $p < 0, q < 0$ in our model.

However rewriting ΔS_{HEE}^{nmk} in terms of ρ really produce exceptionally pretty links between ρ, ρ' :

$$\Delta S_{HEE}^{111} = \frac{l}{2G_3} \sin^2(\frac{\bar{h}}{2}) \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\rho_0} d\rho \left[\rho' \times (\rho'^2 + \sinh^2 \rho)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \cos \bar{h} \cosh(2\rho)) \right]. \quad (41)$$

The phase-space solution (38) is used to evaluate the difference (41) between pure commutative AdS and NC one. The numeric integration will be applied to evaluate (41) the effect of various forms of NC terms:

$$\Delta S_{HEE}^{111} \simeq -\frac{l\gamma_1}{8G_3} \bar{h}^{8/3} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\rho_0} d\rho (\sinh \rho)^{3/4} \times (\cosh(2\rho) - 1)^{11/6}. \quad (42)$$

here $\gamma_1 = 16\sqrt{6}l^{10}E^5$, $E = \mathcal{L}^{11} - \rho' \partial_{\rho'} \mathcal{L}^{11} \equiv \text{constant}$. Finally we compute the "physical" (the $\Re$ part) of the leading integral, we obtain:

$$\Delta S_{HEE}^{111} \simeq -\frac{3.95l}{G_3} \left[(l^2 E)^5 \bar{h}^{8/3} \right] e^{\rho_0}. \quad (43)$$

Finally we obtain the NC corrected form of HEE for AdS_3 :

$$S_{HEE}^{NCAdS_3} = \frac{c_{NC}}{3} \log \left(e^{2\rho_0} \sin \left(\frac{\pi l}{L} \right) \right), \quad (44)$$

$$c_{NC} = c + \frac{23.7(l^2 E)^5 \bar{h}^{8/3} L}{\log \left(\frac{L}{a} \sin \left(\frac{\pi l}{L} \right) \right) a} \quad (45)$$

We found a mathematical formula that worked much more than anything capable of becoming the basis for the HEE of a $NCAdS_3$. The first term in this formula becomes divergent completely in agreement to the commutative case of AdS_3 , it is safe to use on all higher dimensional extensions. The HEE for a $NCAdS_{d \geq 4}$ can be calculated in an analogous manner to the AdS_3 in NCRG. The first term, known as *noncommutative area law*, presents the ruling in holographic formula beginning if the spacetime becomes noncommutative just slightly. However, it manages to be surprising or deviate from the Ryu-Takayanagi formula of the [18]. In our NC case, the growth in the value of HEE is proportional to the instantaneous value of the HEE. The rate may be positive or negative. By comparing expressions in Ryu-Takayanagi

formula and NC case, we discover some possible perspectives in interpretation of NCAdS spaces.

If we substitute (43) in (32) we obtain:

$$S_{Corrected} = \frac{\rho_0}{2G_3} + \frac{\mathcal{N}}{G_3} e^{\rho_0} + \text{constants} \quad (46)$$

here $\mathcal{N} = \frac{3.95l}{G_3} \left[(l^2 E)^5 \bar{h}^{8/3} \right]$. Following a very important new proposal for HEE as entropy of a quantum system in surface/state duality [42], the above expression for $S_{Corrected}$ can be interpreted as the "effective" entropy S_{eff} or the generalized holographic entropy of a surface in bulk Σ . This entropy must be equal to the $\log[\dim H_{eff}]$, which the $\dim H_{eff}$ is introduced as the effective dimension of the Hilbert space for the dual CFT². Because the non commutativity increased the amount of the entropy enclosed in the bulk region Σ (much more bigger than the commutative spacetime due to the presence of the log term), it means that the effective dimension is increased by non commutativity. An emergence of the new degree of freedoms is appeared. The order of change in the $\dim H_{eff}$ for non commutative system in the comparison to the commutative system is about:

$$\frac{\dim H_{eff}^{NCAdS_3}}{\dim H_{eff}^{AdS_3}} \simeq e^{\frac{\mathcal{N}}{G_3} e^{\rho_0}} \gg 1 \quad (47)$$

which is a very large number of degrees of freedom. We mention here that this result is based on a first order approximation. So, the $\dim H_{eff}$ may will change if we take into account other higher order terms.

By comparing results from the both studies, we hope to understand more about the impact of HEE being reared in Planck scale.

Summary This letter offers a glimpse of how one can use holography to realize entanglement entropy for the noncommutative AdS space. We first find the noncommutative Anti-de Sitter metric using a fully consistent version of noncommutative Riemannian geometry. Later we use the Ryu-Takayanagi formula to compute holographic entanglement entropy of a *commutative* region, needs to derive an expression for entropy of a possible noncommutative CFT. A correction for the entanglement entropy was applied to the minimal case $n = m = k = 1$ value because this was generally large compared to the other higher terms. Planck scale correction for entropy of noncommutative system of order $\bar{h}^{8/3}$ contained the following parameters: a constant of motion E appeared as E^5 , the powers of AdS radii l as l^{11} and the Newtonian constant G_3^{-1} . Collectively these calculations can compute the central charge for noncommutative CFT_2 .

We might mislead ourselves if we interpreted this expression as referring to cutoff independent central charge;

² For an alternative definition of quantum field theory in non commutative systems see [43].

on the other hand, we more than most of the NC-SYM thinks of c_{NC} as an imitation of $NCAdS_3$. This central charge has been constituted for a peculiar group of NC-AdS bulks, which have been interpreted as the gravitational duals of the skeletons of CFT of an NC boundary conformal field theory. All these points of structure can only be correctly interpreted after a consideration of the needs of the individual NC- CFT_2 , and of the large colony of which they are members. We have seen that the

c_{NC} is preceded by the formation of NC bulk geometry, and its appearance is now interpreted as a sign of CFT_2 manufacture. This holographic computation has been interpreted as an ad-hoc first stepping for a NC-AdS/CFT programm. Whatever their origin is, these calculations tend to be interpreted as "gift" to the AdS/CFT. Recommendation is to allow noncommutative corrections of ΔS_{HEE}^{nmk} that requires further research.

-
- [1] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231(1999)[Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1999)]
 - [2] H. S. Snyder, Phys.Rev. **71**, 38 (1947).
 - [3] A. Connes, Academic, New York (1994).
 - [4] M. Kontsevich, Lett.Math.Phys. **66**, 157 (2003), arXiv:q-alg/9709040 [q-alg].
 - [5] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP **9909**, 032 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9908142 [hep-th].
 - [6] P. Aschieri, C. Blohmann, M. Dimitrijevic, F. Meyer, P. Schupp, et al., Class.Quant.Grav. **22**, 3511 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0504183 [hep-th].
 - [7] P. Aschieri, M. Dimitrijevic, F. Meyer, and J. Wess, Class.Quant.Grav. **23**, 1883 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0510059 [hep-th].
 - [8] M. Chaichian, A. Tureanu, R. B. Zhang and X. Zhang, J. Math. Phys. **49**, 073511 (2008) [hep-th/0612128].
 - [9] J. Nash, Annals of Mathematics, **63**, 20-63 (1956).
 - [10] A. Friedman, J. Math. Mech. **10**, 625 (1961).
 - [11] D. Wang, R. B. Zhang and X. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. C **64**, 439 (2009) [arXiv:0903.0904 [hep-th]].
 - [12] D. Wang, R. B. Zhang and X. Zhang, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 085014 (2009) [arXiv:0809.0614 [hep-th]].
 - [13] R. Romero-Ayala, C. A. Soto-Campos and S. Valdez-Alvarado, arXiv:1503.05469 [gr-qc].
 - [14] S. Pramanik, S. Das and S. Ghosh, Phys. Lett. B **742**, 266 (2015) [arXiv:1401.7832 [hep-th]].
 - [15] M. Li and Y. S. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2084 (2000) [hep-th/9909085].
 - [16] Z. Chang, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 044009 (2000) [hep-th/9904101].
 - [17] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, Int. J. Quant. Inf. **4**, 429 (2006) [quant-ph/0505193].
 - [18] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 181602 (2006).
 - [19] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, J. High Energy Phys. **0608**, 045 (2006).
 - [20] T. Nishioka, S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, J. Phys. A **42**, 504008 (2009) [arXiv:0905.0932 [hep-th]].
 - [21] M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 666 (1993) [hep-th/9303048].
 - [22] Y. Peng and Q. Pan, JHEP **1406**, 011 (2014) [arXiv:1404.1659 [hep-th]].
 - [23] Y. Ling, P. Liu, C. Niu, J. P. Wu and Z. Y. Xian, arXiv:1502.03661 [hep-th].
 - [24] O. Ben-Ami, D. Carmi and J. Sonnenschein, JHEP **1411** (2014) 144 [arXiv:1409.6305 [hep-th]].
 - [25] D. Carmi, arXiv:1506.07528 [hep-th].
 - [26] O. Ben-Ami, D. Carmi and M. Smolkin, JHEP **1508**, 048 (2015) [arXiv:1504.00913 [hep-th]].
 - [27] A. Bhattacharyya, S. Shajidul Haque and V. V. Osoorio, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 4, 045026 (2015) [arXiv:1412.2568 [hep-th]].
 - [28] D. Momeni, H. Gholizade, M. Raza and R. Myrzakulov, Phys. Lett. B **747**, 417 (2015) [arXiv:1503.02896 [hep-th]].
 - [29] A. Bhattacharyya and M. Sharma, JHEP **1410**, 130 (2014) [arXiv:1405.3511 [hep-th]].
 - [30] A. Bhattacharyya, M. Sharma and A. Sinha, JHEP **1401**, 021 (2014) [arXiv:1308.5748 [hep-th]].
 - [31] A. M. Garca-Garca and A. Romero-Bermdez, arXiv:1502.03616 [hep-th].
 - [32] S. Capozziello and O. Luongo, arXiv:1306.1897 [gr-qc].
 - [33] S. Capozziello and O. Luongo, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **52**, 2698 (2013) [arXiv:1303.1311 [gr-qc]].
 - [34] S. Capozziello, O. Luongo and S. Mancini, Phys. Lett. A **377**, 1061 (2013) [arXiv:1302.5884 [gr-qc]].
 - [35] S. Capozziello and O. Luongo, Phys. Lett. A **378** (2014) 2058 [arXiv:1405.7834 [gr-qc]].
 - [36] S. Capozziello and O. Luongo, Entropy **13** (2011) 528 [arXiv:1010.3347 [gr-qc]].
 - [37] W. Fischler, A. Kundu and S. Kundu, JHEP **1401**, 137 (2014) [arXiv:1307.2932 [hep-th]].
 - [38] J. L. Karczmarek and C. Rabideau, JHEP **1310**, 078 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3517 [hep-th]].
 - [39] W. Magnus, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **7** (4), 649(1954). doi:10.1002/cpa.3160070404.
 - [40] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Classical theory of fields, 4th edn. Pergamon press, Oxford, 1975.
 - [41] T. Albash and C. V. Johnson, JHEP **02** (2012) 95.
 - [42] M. Miyaji and T. Takayanagi, arXiv:1503.03542 [hep-th].
 - [43] M. Chaichian, A. Demichev and P. Presnajder, Nucl. Phys. B **567**, 360 (2000) [hep-th/9812180].